P.6/7

Appl. No. 09/662,409 Amd. Dated December 23, 2003 Reply to Office Action of November 13, 2003

## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 2-9 are pending in the above-identified application. Claims 1-4, 8, and 9 have been rejected. Claims 5-7 were objected to.

## Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. §102(a) L

Claims 2-4, 8 and 9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(a) as allegedly being clearly anticipated by Parce et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,779,868) ("Parce"). Applicant has cancelled these claims, rendering this rejection moot.

## П. Allowable Subject Matter

The pending office action states that claims 5 to 7 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Accordingly, claims 5 and 7 have been rewritten in independent form by incorporating the limitations of claim 2 into both those claims. Even though claim 6 has not been rewritten in independent form, Applicant asserts that claim 6 is in condition for allowance. Claim 6 depends from as-amended claim 5, so claim 6 contains all of the limitations of claim 5. Therefore if as-amended claim 5 is allowable, then claim 6 should also be allowable.

Appl. No. 09/662,409 Amd. Dated December 23, 2003 Reply to Office Action of November 13, 2003

## Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, Applicant believes all the pending claims are in condition for allowance and should be passed to issue. If the Examiner feels that a telephone conference would in any way expedite the prosecution of the application, please do not hesitate to call the undersigned at (650) 623-0737.

Respectfully submitted,

esa. Mike

Donald R. McKenna Reg. No. 44,922

CALIPER TECHNOLOGIES CORP. 605 Fairchild Drive Mountain View, CA 94043 Tel: 650-623-0737

Fax: 650-623-0504